UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	JUDGMENT INCLUDING SENTENCE
-v-	UNDER THE SENTENCING REFORM ACT
POT 3M WAYNING	DENIZHCING REFORM ACT
EGLAN YOUNGE	CASE NUMBER: CR-03-1368 (ARR)
	LISA SCOLARI, ESQ
	20 VESEY STREET, SUITE 400
	NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007
THE DEFENDANT:	Defendant's Attorney & Address
not guilty.	o of the superseding indictment after a plea of
Accordingly, the defendant is ADJUD following offenses:	GED guilty of such count(s), which involve the
TITLE & SECTION  21 USC 963, 960(a)(1) & CONSPIRACY TO SECTION OF THE PROPERTY TO SEC	O TMDODM
21 USC 846 & 841 (b) (1) (A) CONSPIRACY TO	TO DISTRIBUTE 5 KILOGRAMS OR MORE OF COCAINE.
	TO DISTRIBUTE 5 KILOGRAMS OR MORE OF COCAINE.
The defendant is sentenced as provided in The sentence is imposed pursuant to the s	n pages 2 through of this Judgment. Sentencing Reform Act of 1984.
Remaining counts are dismissed on the XXX It is ordered that the defendant	ilty on count(s) and is discharged as he motion of the United States.  shall pay to the United States a special as due XXX immediately as follows:
It is further ORDERED that the defendant sidestrict within 30 days of any change of	hall notify the United States Attorney for this residence or mailing address until all fines, ts imposed by this Judgment are fully paid.
Defendant's Soc. Sec #_	
Defendant's Date of Birth_7/26/78	Date of Imposition of Sentence
Defendant's Mailing Address:	ALLYNE R. ROSS, U.S.D.J.
197 EAST 34th STREET, APT. 3	V
	APRIL 11, 2006  Date
ROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11203	
efendant's Residence Address:	A TRUE COPY ATTEST Date:
( SAME AS ABOVE )	ROBERT C. HEINEMANN
	CLERK OF COURT
	By:
	DEPUTY CLERK

÷ ;

Defendant: EGLAN YOUNGE Case Number: CR-03-1368 (ARR)

Judgment - Page

of

## IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of two hundred and ten (210) months. Counts one and two of the superseding indictment are to run concurrently.

<u> </u>	The Court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons: THAT THE DEFT BE HOUSED AT THE FORT DIX OR ALLENWOOD FACILITY.
	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district,
	ata.m./p.m. on
	as notified by the Marshal.
	The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons
	before 12:00 noon on
	as notified by the United States Marshal.
	as notified by the Probation Office.
	RETURN
	I have executed this Judgment as follows:
efend	lant delivered on to at
	at, with a certified copy of this Judgment.
	United States Marshal

Defendant: EGLAN YOUNGE Case Number: CR-03-1368 (ARR)

Judgment - Page

01

## SUPERVISED RELEASE

Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall be on supervised release for a term of five (5) years.

While on supervised release, the defendant shall not commit another Federal, state, or local crime and shall comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this court (set forth on the following page). If this judgment imposes a restitution obligation, it shall be a condition of supervised release that the defendant pay any such restitution that remains unpaid at the commencement of the term of supervised release. The defendant shall comply with the following additional conditions:

- 1) IF EXCLUDED; DEFT SHALL NOT RE-ENTER THE UNITED STATES ILLEGALLY.
- 2) DEFT SHALL NOT POSSESS ANY FIREARMS.

The defendant shall pay any fines that remain unpaid at the commencement of the term of supervised release.

Defendant: EGLAN YOUNGE Case Number: CR-03-1368 (ARR)

Judgment - Page of

## STANDARD CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION

While the defendant is on probation or supervised release pursuant to this Judgment:

- 1) The defendant shall not commit another Federal, state or local crime;
- 2) the defendant shall not leave the judicial district without the permission of the court or probation officer;
- 3) the defendant shall report to the probation officer as directed by the court or probation officer and shall submit a truthful and complete written report within the first five days of each month;
- 4) the defendant shall answer truthfully all inquiries by the probation officer and follow the instructions of the probation officer;
- 5) the defendant shall support his or her dependents and meet other family responsibilities;
- 6) the defendant shall work regularly at a lawful occupation unless excused by the probation officer for schooling, training, or other acceptable reasons;
- 7) the defendant shall notify the probation officer within seventy-two hours of any change in residence or employment;
- the defendant shall refrain from excessive use of alcohol and shall not purchase, possess, use, distribute, or administer any narcotic or other controlled substance, or any paraphernalia related to such substances, except as prescribed by a physician;
- 9) the defendant shall not frequent places where controlled substances are illegally sold, used, distributed, or administered;
- the defendant shall not associate with any persons engaged in criminal activity, and shall not associate with any person convicted of a felony unless granted permission to do so by the probation officer;
- the defendant shall permit a probation officer to visit him or her at any time at home or elsewhere and shall permit confiscation of any contraband observed in plain view by the probation officer;
- the defendant shall notify the probation officer within seventy-two hours of being arrested or questioned by a law enforcement officer;
- the defendant shall not enter into any agreement to act as an informer or a special agent of a law enforcement agency without the permission of the court;
- as directed by the probation officer, the defendant shall notify third parties of risks that may be occasioned by the defendant's criminal record or personal history or characteristics, and shall permit the probation officer to make such notifications and to confirm the defendant's compliance with such notification requirement.

These conditions are in addition to any other conditions imposed by this Judgment.

Defendant: EGLAN YOUNGE Judgment - Page of Case Number: CR-03-1368 (ARR) FINE WITH SPECIAL ASSESSMENT The defendant shall pay to the United States the sum of \$ 200.00 , consisting of a fine of \$ N/A and a special assessment of \$ 200.00 These amounts are the totals of the fines and assessments imposed on individual counts, as follows: This sum shall be paid \_\_\_ immediately \_\_\_ as follows: The Court has determined that the defendant does not have the ability to pay any fines, cost of confinement or supervision. The interest requirement is waived. The interest requirement is modified as follows:

Mr. Barnett was given.

THE COURT: I understand what you are saying.

Let me say that putting aside his cooperation, I view Mr. Barnett's culpability as substantially less than your client's.

That doesn't necessarily mean I'm in agreement or disagreement anyway but he starts much lower on the totem pole than your client does.

I turn first to the advisory guidelines. The presentence report fixes defendant's base offense level at 36, a conclusion that is not actively contested by the government. Defense counsel's contention that this is erroneous is predicated upon the same argument advanced in defendant's Rule 29 motion, that is that Younge's importation of 120 kilograms of cocaine on the cargo pallet arriving on Universal Airlines on September 20, 2003 was not part of the cocaine conspiracy charged against him in the indictment.

For the reasons already addressed, I reject the argument and concur with the probation department's assessment of the base offense level.

Although the original presentence report recommended a role enhancement of two, an addendum concludes that this was in error and finds the defendant was an organizer of a conspiracy involving five or more participants calling for a four level aggravating role enhancement.

Although defendant disputes this conclusion and contends that he is entitled to a minor role judgment, the evidence overwhelmingly supports a four level aggravating role adjustment.

Cooperating witness Junior Barnett's testimony supports the conclusion that on five occasions Younge imported shipments of cocaine on cargo pallets via Universal Airlines. Younge organized the shipments with his supplier, recruited multiple accomplices, played a major role in planning the importations and exercised control and authority over others.

No specific amount of narcotics was identified in connection with the first 4 shipments as the importations were successfully completed. The 5th however was seized and there was overwhelming evidence that two boxes on the pallet totaling approximately 120 kilograms of cocaine were imported by Younge.

The evidence also amply established that the scheme involved five or more participants, including Younge, Heslop, Barnett, Mickey, the van driver, Shorty and Roscoe. Under the advisory guidelines, the defendant's adjusted offense level is thus 40, which with a criminal history category of one carries a range of imprisonment of 292 to 365 months.

I have considered the advisory guideline but I do not intend to sentence within it. That is because when the statutory sentencing factors enumerated in Section 3553(a) are

applied to the facts and circumstances of this case, I believe that a sentence moderately lower than that called for by the advisory guideline is sufficient but no more severe than necessary to satisfy the statutory goals of sentencing.

Я

At the outset, there is very little about the nature and circumstances of defendant's offense that mitigates the seriousness of his criminal conduct.

Indeed, in considering the nature and circumstances of the offense, I have in the case of Mr. Younge as in the case of other airport workers considered as an exacerbating factor the fact that he made use of his job position at JFK Airport in committing the crimes of which he was convicted.

Although I have found that the government failed to present evidence sufficient to find by a preponderance that law enforcement authorities in fact reposed trust in the airport employees, a finding essential to the imposition of an abuse of trust enhancement under the guidelines, there is ample evidence in the record to establish that defendant took advantage of his job in committing these offenses, a job that though not established to be a repository of trust by law enforcement is nonetheless a highly sensitive one due to the enhanced societal dangers posed by corruption at a major international port or airport such as John F. Kennedy Airport.

On the other hand, unlike many defendants deeply involved in serious narcotics conspiracies, defendant used no

dangerous weapons and did not resort to any violence in furtherance of his criminal scheme.

Turning to the history and characteristics of the defendant, the instant offense represents Mr. Younge's only conviction and only reported arrest, although he advised the probation department that he had been arrested by the Port Authority police at JFK for allegedly threatening a supervisor, a charge that was subsequently dismissed.

He is a 27 year old permanent resident from Guyana who is divorced with a four-year old child for whom he provided some support when he was employed.

Among the most striking and sympathetic aspects of Mr. Younge's history are the tragic circumstances of his upbringing alluded to somewhat generally in the presentence report but more vividly elaborated upon in defense counsel's March 30, 2006 submission.

Given all of the facts and circumstances surrounding defendant's personal history and criminal conduct, I believe that a term of 210 months incarceration would suffice without being unduly severe to meet the statutory goals of sentencing under Section 3553(a). Such a sentence, exceeding 16 years imprisonment, is an extremely severe one and is therefore sufficient to promote respect for the law and serve the goals of just punishment and general deterrence of criminal conduct.

In this regard, I believe this term of imprisonment

is also of sufficient severity to serve as a deterrent to other airport employees who might otherwise succumb to the temptation to corrupt their sensitive positions for pecuniary or other personal gain.

Defendant will be well into his forties by the time he is released from prison and will no doubt be immediately deported. This coupled with what I view as a relatively low risk of recidivism establishes that the selected sentence will, as the statute requires, promote protection of the public from defendant's criminal conduct.

Accordingly, on counts one and two, I sentence Mr. Younge to the custody of the Attorney General for a period of 210 months to run concurrently.

It's my understanding that there is no forfeiture with respect to Mr. Younge.

MR. RAMOS: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: To be followed by a five year period of supervised release with special conditions that if deported, he not illegally reenter the United States. I prohibit the possession of a firearm.

I make a finding that he is unable to pay a fine but I will impose the mandatory 200-dollar special assessment.

Mr. Younge, as you know, you may appeal both the conviction and the sentence. A notice of appeal must be filed within 10 days. Undoubtedly, Ms. Scolari will continue do

1	represent you for the appeal.
2	Is there any specific request?
3	MS. SCOLARI: Mr. Younge has asked that the Court
4	recommend that he be designated to Fort Dix consistent with
5	security concerns.
6	I told him I wasn't sure if there would be room
7	there and I wasn't sure if he would qualify so as an
8	alternative, would you also recommend Allenwood. Fort Dix is
9	undergoing some type of rehabilitation.
10	Thank you.
11	THE COURT: Yes.
12	(Matter concluded.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	